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## 1. Executive summary

GWRC commissioned a rail survey undertaken in June 2017 to gather information to better understand travel patterns and travel characteristics across the rail network.

A sample of 2,350 passengers completed the survey across the network, with the data collection focussing on the AM peak period but also covering the weekday off-peak, PM peak and weekend.

Once cleaned, the data was expanded using automatic passenger count (APC) data to generate representative daily AM peak, Inter-peak, PM peak, weekend and annual totals form the basis for additional data interrogation.

This report summarises some of the high level travel patterns in relation to:

- access mode to rail network (from initial origin)
- egress mode from rail network (to final destination)
- ticket type usage by time period
- variations in travel patterns and characteristics by line / time of day
- trip frequency
- proportion of AM peak passengers making a return trip later in the day

There are a number of limitations that should be noted:

- Wairarapa line sample rates were very low, therefore this data should be used only at aggregate level
- AM peak and PM peak sample rates were good but Inter-peak / weekend sample rates were lower
- The data represents a snapshot of one point in time and thus number should generally be expressed as likely ranges rather than absolute figures

In summary, the data that was collected provides a useful basis for understanding rail travel patterns and characteristics, and can be used for more detailed studies looking at different aspects of the rail system - park and ride, public transport fares - as and when required.

## 2. Introduction

Understanding travel patterns and characteristics is essential for planning and operating public transport networks. The purpose of the $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ Rail Survey is to help with this task.

With the last regional rail survey undertaken in 2011, there was a need for more current information to inform decision making in relation to two significant current projects - public transport fare review and park and ride investigation.

For the 2017 rail survey, Wellington rail passengers were invited to participate in an online survey, with survey forms containing the web address handed out to a sample of passengers. The main aim of the survey was to learn about the travel characteristics of rail passengers who travel on the Wellington rail network during peak and off peak times.

A sample of 2,350 passengers completed the survey and the findings of this survey are available in the 2017 Rail Passenger Survey report ${ }^{1}$ (see Appendix 1), developed by Research New Zealand (who undertook the survey).

The Research New Zealand report summarised the un-expanded data; the purpose of this report is to document the expansion process and to provide a broad high level overview of trends and patterns observed in this analysis.

## 3. Methodology

### 3.1 Online Rail Survey

The objective of the rail survey was to understand daily and weekly travel patterns, with information was collected from rail passengers on the Kapiti, Johnsonville, Hutt Valley/Melling and Wairarapa lines.

Over ten days in June 2017, Research New Zealand surveyors distributed postcards to train passengers on the train station platforms during weekday morning peak-times and on the trains during weekday off-peak-times and weekends. The postcards invited prospective respondents to complete a short online survey about their train journey that day.

The online survey asked respondents to provide information in relation to the following information areas:

- Day and time of their initial train journey and time of their return journey (if applicable)
- The origin and destination of the journey.
- Mode of travel to and from train station.
- The availability of motor vehicles as an alternative to taking the train
- usage of station parking - station car park, off-site, dropped off


### 3.2 Data Expansion

Around 40,000 one-way rail trips are made during an average workday ( 9,300 on an average weekend day). In comparison, 2351 completed survey results were received from the 2017 Rail survey.

To provide a representative view of travel patterns, the data from the rail survey was expanded using passenger count data to adjust for over- or under-representation by line, station or time period.

Passenger counts were used to expand weekday AM inbound survey results (by boarding station) and PM outbound survey results (by alighting station). Passenger counts by line were used for the other directions and time periods, necessitated by the smaller sample sizes; given that around two thirds of all rail trips take place during peak period, this approach is deemed appropriate. The weekday data was expanded using APC counts and reflect a total of $\sim 40,000$ weekday trips.

Table 1 shows Rail survey counts, APC door counts and the resulting expansion factors

[^0]Table 1: Survey counts, APC counts \& Expansion factors

|  | Rail Survey Counts |  |  |  |  |  | Expansion Factors |  |  |  |  |  | Raw Door counts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | AM | IP | IP | Pm | PM | AM | AM | IP | IP | Pm | PM | AM | AM | IP | IP | PM | PM |
|  | IB | OB | IB | OB | IB | OB | IB | OB | IB | OB | IB | OB | IB | OB | IB | OB | IB | OB |
| Upper Hutt | 63 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 55 | 9.44 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 6.65 | 595 | 241 | 291 | 311 | 238 | 366 |
| Wallaceville | 39 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 8.05 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 4.97 | 314 | 56 | 97 | 78 | 20 | 169 |
| Trentham | 53 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 44 | 6.49 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 4.27 | 344 | 160 | 217 | 106 | 36 | 188 |
| Heretaunga | 18 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 10.67 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 6.13 | 192 | 35 | 82 | 68 | 32 | 92 |
| Silverstream | 59 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 8.19 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 4.54 | 483 | 53 | 97 | 114 | 30 | 254 |
| Manor Park | 8 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 5.63 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 13.57 | 45 | 23 | 32 | 33 | 15 | 95 |
| Pomare | 20 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 8.10 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 5.05 | 162 | 18 | 45 | 58 | 15 | 96 |
| Taita | 49 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 43 | 7.45 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 6.00 | 365 | 125 | 70 | 130 | 56 | 258 |
| Wingate | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8.92 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 7.00 | 107 | 35 | 41 | 56 | 19 | 56 |
| Naenae | 40 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 35 | 6.33 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 5.31 | 253 | 35 | 91 | 96 | 26 | 186 |
| Epuni | 24 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 18 | 5.42 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 5.28 | 130 | 27 | 76 | 77 | 21 | 95 |
| Waterloo | 160 | 1 | 9 | 21 | 0 | 124 | 12.12 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 7.71 | 1939 | 61 | 301 | 284 | 183 | 956 |
| Woburn | 90 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 0 | 72 | 6.70 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 5.35 | 603 | 34 | 108 | 159 | 17 | 385 |
| Ava | 49 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 41 | 7.76 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 5.34 | 380 | 29 | 99 | 85 | 22 | 219 |
| Petone | 114 | 1 | 9 | 14 | 1 | 98 | 7.39 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 6.46 | 842 | 57 | 257 | 186 | 188 | 633 |
| Ngauranga | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Wellington | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 |  | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Melling | 66 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 51 | 5.94 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 5.08 | 392 | 136 | 135 | 114 | 59 | 259 |
| Western Hutt | 32 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 3.66 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 2.90 | 117 | 33 | 26 | 25 | 17 | 84 |
| Hutt \& Melling Line | 896 | 15 | 47 | 90 | 13 | 749 | 8.11 | 77.20 | 43.94 | 22.00 | 76.46 | 5.86 | 7263 | 1158 | 2065 | 1980 | 994 | 4391 |
| Johnsonville | 37 | 5 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 31 | 9.14 | 98.71 | 18.83 | 16.06 | 71.50 | 7.87 | 338 | 136 | 289 | 233 | 132 | 244 |
| Raroa | 20 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 10.80 | 98.71 | 18.83 | 16.06 | 71.50 | 6.50 | 216 | 280 | 234 | 80 | 40 | 91 |
| Khandallah | 17 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 9.00 | 98.71 | 18.83 | 16.06 | 71.50 | 7.92 | 153 | 46 | 73 | 60 | 25 | 95 |
| Box Hill | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 8.22 | 98.71 | 18.83 | 16.06 | 71.50 | 5.44 | 74 | 30 | 42 | 60 | 17 | 49 |
| Simla Crescent | 34 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 4.97 | 98.71 | 18.83 | 16.06 | 71.50 | 3.40 | 169 | 44 | 65 | 71 | 13 | 85 |
| Awarua Street | 24 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 6.54 | 98.71 | 18.83 | 16.06 | 71.50 | 6.07 | 157 | 48 | 49 | 81 | 17 | 91 |
| Ngaio | 25 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 6.96 | 98.71 | 18.83 | 16.06 | 71.50 | 7.92 | 174 | 76 | 76 | 85 | 21 | 95 |
| Crofton Downs | 24 | 0 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 7.54 | 98.71 | 18.83 | 16.06 | 71.50 | 4.65 | 181 | 31 | 57 | 85 | 21 | 107 |
| Johnsonville Line | 190 | 7 | 47 | 47 | 4 | 141 | 7.69 | 98.71 | 18.83 | 16.06 | 71.50 | 6.08 | 1462 | 691 | 885 | 755 | 286 | 857 |
| Waikanae | 69 | 0 | 21 | 17 | 1 | 61 | 8.70 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 4.95 | 600 | 237 | 386 | 402 | 141 | 302 |
| Paraparaumu | 106 | 4 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 96 | 8.61 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 5.73 | 913 | 95 | 343 | 233 | 116 | 550 |
| Paekakariki | 36 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 31 | 4.25 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 3.26 | 153 | 14 | 76 | 96 | 23 | 101 |
| Pukerua Bay | 27 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 16 | 5.89 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 6.25 | 159 | 11 | 75 | 87 | 25 | 100 |
| Plimmerton | 40 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 6.78 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 6.97 | 271 | 36 | 102 | 111 | 28 | 230 |
| Mana | 35 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 32 | 6.43 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 6.50 | 225 | 17 | 99 | 98 | 19 | 208 |
| Paremata | 64 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 57 | 6.92 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 5.81 | 443 | 31 | 83 | 152 | 20 | 331 |
| Porirua | 148 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 123 | 8.24 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 7.08 | 1220 | 130 | 415 | 512 | 136 | 871 |
| Kenepuru | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 10.20 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 6.60 | 51 | 24 | 32 | 58 | 27 | 33 |
| Linden | 49 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 43 | 7.49 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 5.12 | 367 | 16 | 156 | 98 | 32 | 220 |
| Tawa | 55 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 44 | 7.24 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 5.32 | 398 | 18 | 110 | 156 | 30 | 234 |
| Redwood | 65 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 52 | 6.09 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 3.31 | 396 | 25 | 106 | 103 | 25 | 172 |
| Takapu Road | 39 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 7.56 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 2.73 | 295 | 13 | 103 | 87 | 105 | 90 |
| Kapiti Line | 738 | 7 | 58 | 80 | 7 | 626 | 7.44 | 95.29 | 35.97 | 27.41 | 103.86 | 5.50 | 5491 | 667 | 2086 | 2193 | 727 | 3442 |
| Masterton | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 76.92 |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Renall Street | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 76.92 |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Solway | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76.92 |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carterton | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 76.92 |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Woodside | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 76.92 |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Featherston | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 76.92 |  |  |  |  | 100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wairarapa Line | 13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 76.92 |  |  |  |  | 100.00 | 1000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |
| Weekend | All cour | ounts | com | bined |  | 427 | 21.78 | 21.78 | 21.78 | 21.78 | 21.78 | 21.78 | 9300 | All co | unts c | combi | ned |  |

Weekend sample rates were even lower so the data collected across the network at the weekends was expanded to 9300 weekend trips, reflecting June average weekend patronage data as reported by Transdev.

Given that the weekend data collected was deemed a representative sample across the network, combined with the fact that the weekend accounts for only around $5 \%$ of weekly rail patronage and
the purpose of surveying weekends was to provide a very high level indication of travel patterns / characteristics (and how they vary compared to the peak periods), this approach was again considered appropriate.

For the Wairarapa Line, 1000 passengers per day per direction were assumed, aligning with long term averages.

Weekly values throughout this document are calculated as five times the summed weekday plus two times the weekend day values.

In addition to the expansion to daily and weekly totals, we annualise the data as further described in Chapter 7.

### 3.3 Summary of expanded data

Table 2 gives an overview of the expanded data by station and time period. It needs to be stressed that at the sample size of the smaller stations is insufficient for analysis at this level and hence this table is indicative only. For the same reason, the data from the Wairarapa was omitted here entirely.

The time periods referenced in this document are as follows:

- AM peak - persons arriving at their destination station between 6.30am and 9am
- Inter-peak - persons departing from their origin station or arriving at their destination station between 9am and 3.30PM
- PM peak - persons departing from their origin station between 3.30 pm and 6.30 pm
- Evening - persons departing from their origin station after 6.30pm
- Weekend - Saturday and Sunday

Table 2: Daily Boarding and alighting counts by station and time period (expanded)


The survey cards were handed out during the morning peak (AM) and Inter-Peak (IP). Respondents were able to complete the survey online when it was convenient for them. The main focus of the questions was on the first trip of the day. Respondents were also asked if they had made (or were planning to make) a return trip later on the same day. If they responded 'Yes', they were also asked the time of that return trip. No further information about that return trip was acquired, but for the
purpose of this analysis and expansion it was assumed that the return trip is the exact inverse of the initial trip; destination station becomes origin station, access mode becomes egress mode etc.

### 3.4 Limitations of the survey and expansion process

A number of factors should be borne in mind when interpreting and using the survey results. They include:

- Sample rates:
- Inter-peak sample rates were considerably lower than morning peak sample rates given that the primary focus of the survey programme was to use the limited budget to focus on gathering data during peak periods (supplemented by the Inter-peak) this is considered an appropriate compromise
- Sample rate in outbound direction was considerably lower than in inbound direction (again, a function of survey methodology and the focus on the AM peak, inbound)
- The number of survey responses from Wairarapa line passengers was very low:
- One reason for this is that surveyors were unable to hand out survey cards on one of the designated days.
- Of those questionnaires completed, 28 of the weekday responses referred to the Wairarapa line (14 of these trips originated in the Wairarapa, the remainder from along the Hutt Valley) which is a low sample rate considering that over 1000 passengers travel from the Wairarapa every morning.
- In summary, whilst Wairarapa line data can be used in aggregate format, it should not be used at a station specific level.
- A low sample rate can lead to high expansion factors which can distort the results, particularly when investigating detailed questions (e.g. examination of what happens at a single station)
- Human error during questionnaire completion:
- a small number ( $\sim 6 \%$ ) of respondents indicated that they took the same trip ${ }^{2} 8$ or more times a week which implies doing the same trip more than once a day - it is likely that these people also counted any return trip in their calculations and we therefore halved the observed trip frequency (i.e. from 8 to 4 ) for the purpose of survey analysis
- Around 9\% of the people who drove a car to the station also indicated they did not have a car available as alternative for the rail trip.
- Afternoon and evening trips: As described in 3.2, information about these trips is only available as inferred from the AM and Inter-Peak questionnaire returns where people are asked whether they will make a return trip later in the day and, if so, when; the survey responses showed that 95\% of people travelling inbound in the AM peak returned later in the day (the majority doing so in the PM peak), justifying our approach of concentrating on the AM peak inbound trips.

[^1]- Time uncertainty: In the survey it was asked "Which day and what time was it when you first travelled by train". Answers include before 6am, 6-7am, 7-8am, 8-9am, 9am-3pm and after 3 pm . Some respondents may have interpreted this as: What time was the trip started or What time did the trip end or During which time period did most of the trip happen. For the purpose of this analysis, all answers of before 9am are aggregated in AM peak.


## 4. Verification of expansion process

In order to evaluate the effects of the expansion, some high level comparisons with the unexpanded data from the Rail Passenger Survey report were undertaken.

If the sample population was a random sub-group of all daily trips, the expansion should only result in very minor differences.

However, as pointed out in 3.4, some trips are over / under-represented in the sample and this section should help understand any sample bias and the extent to which the expansion process rectifies any bias

Figure 1 shows access mode to the train stations (weekday and weekend) by mode for the original unexpanded data set (left) and the expanded data set (right).

The expanded data set has a much greater percentage of walk access trips (compared to unexpanded) because the expanded data set now includes return trips (mostly originating in the CBD and mostly walking) and a greater proportion of inter-peak trips (where the walk access percentage is greater than the car access percentage).

In order to confirm that this is the correct explanation for the differences, the return trips were removed from the expanded data (Figure 2) and this shows a much closer alignment with the unexpanded data.

Some differences remain and will be a function of the under-representation of inter-peak and outbound trips in the original sample.


Figure 1: Access mode to rail station - unexpanded (left) and expanded (right), weekday and weekend


Figure 2: Access mode to station - unexpanded (left) and expanded excluding PM peak and reverse trips (right), weekday and weekend

The percentage of trips made by ticket type / time period are shown in Figure 3 (un-expanded and expanded). Return trips have already been removed from expanded data in accordance with the description above.

The main difference is that monthly pass tickets account for a lower proportion of all fares in the expanded data set compared to the unexpanded data set (even accounting for the removal of PM peak return trips) and Supergold accounts for a higher proportion.

This is a function of the Inter-peak sample rates being a lot lower than the AM peak sample rates, combined with the fact that all Supergold travel occurs in the Inter-peak, resulting in the dilution of peak period monthly pass trips.


Figure 3: Trips by ticket type - un-expanded data (left) and expanded data (right)

Figure 4 below compares the un-expanded and the expanded data for the weekday AM peak. The unexpanded and expanded percentages are relatively similar and support the view that the expansion process has worked as intended and the main differences between un-expanded and expanded records stem from different sample rates between AM (inbound) and AM (outbound), Inter-peak and the additional of PM peak reverse trips.


Figure 4: Trips by ticket type, weekday AM - unexpanded (left) and expanded (right)

## 5. Weekly Trip Distribution - Overview

Table 3 and Figure 5 below categorise the 220,000 weekly rail trips by time period and line.
The time period definitions are as follows:

- AM peak - persons arriving at their destination station between 6.30am and 9am
- Inter-peak - persons departing from their origin station or arriving at their destination station between 9am and 3.30pm
- PM peak - persons departing from their origin station between 3.30 pm and 6.30 pm
- Evening - persons departing from their origin station after 6.30pm
- Weekend - Saturday and Sunday

It is accepted that the expansion counts might not fully align with the time period definitions; however, given the purpose of this survey is to provide high level information and trends this limitation is not considered significant.

Table 3: Weekly trips by line (in thousands)

|  | Time Period |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Line | AM |  | IP |  | PM | Off-Peak | Weekend |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hutt Valley Line | 37.5 | 15.6 | 24.0 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 83.7 |  |
| Johnsonville Line | 11.8 | 8.4 | 6.1 | 1.1 | 5.0 | 32.3 |  |
| Kapiti Line | 29.8 | 21.4 | 20.3 | 1.6 | 8.3 | 81.4 |  |
| Melling Line | 4.5 | 4.4 | 2.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 11.9 |  |
| All Lines Combined | 88.6 | 49.8 | 58.3 | 4.9 | 18.6 | 220.2 |  |



Figure 5: Total weekly trips by time period
The data shows the following:

- Peak periods account for over $2 / 3^{\text {rd }}$ of weekly rail trips
- The combined Hutt Valley / Melling line is slightly busier than the Kapiti line, when assessed over a whole week
- The weekend accounts for between $5 \%$ and $10 \%$ of weekly rail trips, depending on the line

Table 4 below shows AM peak trips categorised by time of arrival (mostly into Wellington Station)
Table 4: AM peak trips by hour of arrival at destination station

| Time of day | $6: 00-6: 59 \mathrm{am}$ | $7: 00-7: 59 \mathrm{am}$ | $8: 00-8: 59 \mathrm{am}$ | 6am to 9am <br> total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of Trips | 3850 | 10000 | 3700 | 17550 |
| Percentage of AM Trips | $22 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

Table 4 shows that nearly $60 \%$ of all AM trips are undertaken in the hour between 7 am and 8 am while $\sim 20 \%$ of the trips fall into each of the hours of 6am to 7am and 8am to 9am

## 6. Results

Inbound passenger volumes are greatest in the AM peak (arrivals into Wellington prior to 9am).
The following section summarises the trips as follows:

- by trip origin
- by trip destination
- by trip purpose
- by access and Egress mode (how they travel to and from the station)
- car availability
- bus ticket type (for those using feeder bus services)
- park and ride characteristics
- rail ticket type


### 6.1 Trip Origin

Table 5 below daily trips by time period and trip origin (i.e. home, employer's business, study, etc.).
Table 5: Daily trips by time period and trip origin

|  | Home | On <br> Employer <br> Business | Other (e.g. shopping, social, sport, recreation) | Polytechnic or University or training | School | Usual workplace | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 17250 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 17700 |
| IP | 4450 | 350 | 1800 | 300 | 300 | 2700 | 9950 |
| PM | 200 | 150 | 400 | 150 | 450 | 10400 | 11650 |
| Evening | 0 | 50 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 700 | 1000 |
| Weekend | 4750 | 50 | 3600 | 100 | 0 | 700 | 9300 |
| Sum Weekday | 21900 | 550 | 2550 | 450 | 850 | 13950 | 40300 |
| Weekly | 119000 | 2850 | 19950 | 2450 | 4250 | 71150 | 220100 |

Table 6: Daily trips by time period and trip origin (percentage)

|  | Home | On <br> Employer Business | Other (e.g. <br> shopping, <br> social, <br> sport, <br> recreation) | Polytechnic or University or training | School | Usual workplace | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 97\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 1\% | 100\% |
| IP | 45\% | 4\% | 18\% | 3\% | 3\% | 27\% | 100\% |
| PM | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 89\% | 100\% |
| Evening | 0\% | 5\% | 10\% | 0\% | 10\% | 70\% | 100\% |
| Weekend | 51\% | 1\% | 39\% | 1\% | 0\% | 8\% | 100\% |
| Sum Weekday | 54\% | 1\% | 6\% | 1\% | 2\% | 35\% | 100\% |
| Weekly | 54\% | 1\% | 9\% | 1\% | 2\% | 32\% | 100\% |

Table 5, Table 6 and Figure 6 show where people are coming from before they start their trips. Unsurprisingly, the vast majority of journeys originate at home during the AM peak. In the InterPeak, there is about a 50/50 share of people coming from home and from elsewhere. The PM peak and evening trips are dominated by people coming from work.


Figure 6: Percentage of daily trips by origin (all time periods)

### 6.2 Trip Destination

Trip destination is summarised in Table 7, Table 8 and Figure 7. About 90\% of all AM peak journeys are journeys to work, with 7\% of AM peak trips destined for school.

The Johnsonville Line has a different distribution, with ~30\% of trip destinations going to school and $63 \%$ to work, a function of the Onslow College / Raroa College (outbound trips) and Wellington Girls School (inbound trips)

Table 7: Trips by time period and destination

|  | Home | On Employer Business | Other (e.g. shopping, social, sport, recreation) | Polytechnic or University or training | School | Usual workplace | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 200 | 150 | 250 | 300 | 1200 | 15600 | 17700 |
| IP | 4900 | 450 | 2600 | 300 | 150 | 1600 | 9950 |
| PM | 11250 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 11650 |
| Evening | 950 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |
| Weekend | 4400 | 50 | 3900 | 150 | 50 | 750 | 9300 |
| Sum Weekday | 17300 | 600 | 3000 | 600 | 1350 | 17400 | 40300 |
| Weekly | 95300 | 3100 | 22800 | 3300 | 6850 | 88500 | 220100 |

Table 8: Trips by time period and destination (percentage)

|  | Home | On Employer Business | Other (e.g. <br> shopping, <br> social, sport, recreation) | Polytechnic or University or training | School | Usual workplace | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 7\% | 88\% | 100\% |
| IP | 49\% | 5\% | 26\% | 3\% | 2\% | 16\% | 100\% |
| PM | 97\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 100\% |
| Evening | 95\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Weekend | 47\% | 1\% | 42\% | 2\% | 1\% | 8\% | 100\% |
| Sum Weekday | 43\% | 1\% | 7\% | 1\% | 3\% | 43\% | 100\% |
| Weekly | 43\% | 1\% | 10\% | 1\% | 3\% | 40\% | 100\% |



Figure 7: Percentage of trips by purpose

During the Inter-peak, rail trips are split broadly $50: 50$ between persons heading to home and persons heading to go shopping / undertake other activities.

### 6.3 Trip Purposes

Trip purpose is derived by combining information about origins and destinations.
Table 9: Trip purpose by time period

|  |  |  |  |  | Workday <br> Total | Weekend |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | AM | IP | PM | Evening |  |  |



Figure 8: Trip purposes by time period (percentage of total)
Table 9 and Figure 8 show the distribution of trips by purpose and time period (workdays only) as well as the daily distribution. The majority (95\%) of trips are home based trips, with home-based work trips accounting for around $90 \%$ of trips during the AM and PM peak periods.

### 6.4 Access Mode

Table 7, Table 8 and Figure 9 show trips by access mode to rail stations, by time periods, in both absolute and percentage terms.

Walking and car (either as passenger or as driver) are the major access modes for train journeys with an almost equal share between the two in the AM ( $45 \%$ each). Walking is a more significant access mode in the IP and accounts for $90 \%$ of all access legs in the PM peak, a function of most people who catch a train in the PM peak walking from work to Wellington station.

Table 10: Rail station access mode by time period (absolute)

|  | By bike | By bus | By motor vehicle, as a passenger | By motor vehicle, as the driver | By taxi | By train | Other | Walk | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 300 | 1150 | 1950 | 6200 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 8050 | 17700 |
| IP | 350 | 1100 | 500 | 1500 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 6350 | 9950 |
| PM | 50 | 500 | 250 | 300 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 10450 | 11650 |
| Evening | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 | 1000 |
| Weekend | 350 | 950 | 850 | 1100 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 5900 | 9300 |
| Sum Weekday | 700 | 2950 | 2700 | 8000 | 0 | 150 | 50 | 25600 | 40300 |
| Weekly | 4200 | 16650 | 15200 | 42200 | 200 | 750 | 350 | 139800 | 220100 |

Table 11: Rail station access mode by time period (percentage)

|  | By bike | By bus | By motor vehicle, as a passenger | By motor vehicle, as the driver | By taxi | By train | Other | Walk | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 2\% | 6\% | 11\% | 35\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 46\% | 100\% |
| IP | 3\% | 11\% | 5\% | 15\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 64\% | 100\% |
| PM | 1\% | 4\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 90\% | 100\% |
| Evening | 0\% | 22\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 76\% | 100\% |
| Weekend | 4\% | 10\% | 9\% | 12\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 64\% | 100\% |
| Sum Weekday | 2\% | 7\% | 7\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 64\% | 100\% |
| Weekly | 2\% | 8\% | 7\% | 19\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 64\% | 100\% |



Figure 9: Rail station access mode by time period (percentage)
Access mode preference varies between the different lines. Figure 6 shows that when motor vehicle passengers / drivers are combined:

- Kapiti line car access (58\%) is greater than walk access
- Hutt valley line car access (43\%) and walk access (48\%) modal split is similar
- Most people walk to the Johnsonville line (83\%)

Based upon knowledge of walk-up station catchment areas (within 1 km walk of the station) and characteristics of the different lines, these observations appear plausible.


Figure 10: Access mode by line, AM, percentage (only modes with more than $1 \%$ share)

### 6.5 Egress Mode

Walking is the preferred mode for the onward trip from the rail station, with around $90 \%$ of people walking to their destination (mainly from Wellington station) during the morning peak. This percentage drops during the IP and especially PM peak as people return to the suburbs where some of them left the car at or near the railway station in the morning.

Table 12: Egress mode by time period

|  | By bike | By bus | By motor vehicle, as a passenger | By motor vehicle, as the driver | By taxi | By train | Other | Walk | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 200 | 1150 | 300 | 550 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 15450 | 17700 |
| IP | 300 | 1300 | 500 | 1850 | 50 | 50 | 0 | 5950 | 9950 |
| PM | 100 | 900 | 1300 | 4050 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 5250 | 11650 |
| Evening | 0 | 0 | 100 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 600 | 1000 |
| Weekend | 300 | 1050 | 850 | 1150 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 5800 | 9300 |
| Sum Weekday | 600 | 3350 | 2200 | 6700 | 50 | 150 | 50 | 27250 | 40300 |
| Weekly | 3600 | 18850 | 12700 | 35800 | 350 | 850 | 350 | 147850 | 220100 |

Table 13: Egress mode by time period (percentage)

|  | By bike | By bus | By motor vehicle, as a passenger | By motor vehicle, as the driver | By taxi | By train | Other | Walk | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 1\% | 6\% | 2\% | 3\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 87\% | 100\% |
| IP | 3\% | 13\% | 5\% | 19\% | 1\% | 1\% | 0\% | 60\% | 100\% |
| PM | 1\% | 8\% | 11\% | 35\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 45\% | 100\% |
| Evening | 0\% | 0\% | 10\% | 25\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 60\% | 100\% |
| Weekend | 3\% | 11\% | 9\% | 12\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 62\% | 100\% |
| Sum Weekday | 1\% | 8\% | 5\% | 17\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 68\% | 100\% |
| Weekly | 2\% | 9\% | 6\% | 16\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 67\% | 100\% |



Figure 11: Rail egress mode by time period (percentage)

### 6.6 Car Availability

This question asks people whether a car was available to them as a means of travel instead of the train journey they made that day.

Table 14: Access mode to rail station cross-tabulated against car availability (AM, Inter-peak, initial trips excluding return trips), average weekday and weekend

|  |  |  |  | By motor <br> vehicle, as a <br> passenger | By motor <br> vehicle, as <br> the driver | Walk | Grand Total |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Weekday | By bus | 200 | 1050 | 750 | 600 | 4400 | 7050 |
| We | Yes | 250 | 650 | 1600 | 6700 | 6150 | 15450 |
| Weekend | No | 50 | 400 | 300 | 50 | 1800 | 2650 |
|  | Yes | 150 | 150 | 350 | 850 | 1300 | 2850 |



Figure 12: Car availability by station access mode (initial trips), percentage
For this analysis we are looking at initial trips (i.e. excluding return trips). As Table 10 and Figure 8 show, a car was available to the majority ( $\sim 70 \%$ ) of weekday rail passengers and about half of weekend rail passengers. The segment of rail users that have the lowest car availability were people who took the bus to the station ( $70 \%$ have no car access).

### 6.7 Bus Access and egress to rail station

Respondents that used the bus as access and/or egress mode to rail travel were also asked which ticket type they used to pay for bus trip(s).

Table 15: Bus access to rail station by ticket type

|  | Cash | HuttPlus | MANA Coachcard | Monthly pass | Other | Snapper | Supergold | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 150 | 50 | 250 | 200 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 1150 |
| IP | 200 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 50 | 450 | 350 | 1100 |
| PM | 0 | 0 | 100 | 50 | 0 | 350 | 0 | 500 |
| Evening | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 200 |
| Weekend | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 250 | 950 |
| Sum Weekday | 350 | 50 | 450 | 300 | 50 | 1400 | 350 | 2950 |
| Weekly | 2150 | 250 | 2250 | 1500 | 250 | 7900 | 2250 | 16650 |

Table 16: Bus access to rail station by ticket type (percentage)

|  | Cash | HuttPlus | MANA <br> Coachcard | Monthly pass | Other | Snapper | Supergold | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 13\% | 4\% | 22\% | 17\% | 0\% | 43\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| IP | 18\% | 0\% | 9\% | 0\% | 5\% | 41\% | 32\% | 100\% |
| PM | 0\% | 0\% | 20\% | 10\% | 0\% | 70\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Evening | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 25\% | 0\% | 50\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Weekend | 21\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 47\% | 26\% | 100\% |
| Sum Weekday | 12\% | 2\% | 15\% | 10\% | 2\% | 47\% | 12\% | 100\% |
| Weekly | 13\% | 2\% | 14\% | 9\% | 2\% | 47\% | 14\% | 100\% |

The results for trips to the station are summarised in Table 15, Table 16 and Figure 13.
The share of Snapper as a payment method is largest in the afternoon, reflecting people returning to Wellington Station to catch the train home.

Monthly passes such as Hutt Plus (small additional charge compared to rail monthly pass) and the Kapiti plus (rail monthly allowing travel on bus feeder services) and MANA Coach card (access to Johnsonville station) are used to pay for around $50 \%$ of bus access trips in the AM peak, with Snapper accounting for the remaining 50\%.

In the Inter-peak, around 80\% of people pay for fares either by Snapper / Mana cash card or Supergold.

Cash is the method of payment for a relatively small number of rail access trips made by bus.


Figure 13: Method of payment for bus fare to rail station
Table 12 and Figure 10 show payment method for the onward bus egress legs from the rail stations. In broad terms it reflects the access leg patterns, with monthly passes and Snapper the preferred payment method for the majority of rail egress legs in the PM peak, whilst Supergold is the payment method for around $40 \%$ of rail egress leg trips in the Inter-peak.

Table 17: Method of payment for bus egress legs from rail station

|  | Cash | MANA Coachcard | Monthly pass | Snapper | Supergold | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 150 | 100 | 150 | 700 | 0 | 1150 |
| IP | 250 | 150 | 50 | 350 | 450 | 1300 |
| PM | 150 | 100 | 150 | 500 | 0 | 900 |
| Evening | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Weekend | 250 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 250 | 1050 |
| Sum Weekday | 550 | 350 | 350 | 1550 | 450 | 3350 |
| Weekly | 3250 | 1750 | 1750 | 8750 | 2750 | 18850 |

Table 18: Method of payment for bus egress legs from rail station (percentage)

|  | Cash | MANA <br> Coachcard | Monthly pass | Snapper | Supergold | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 13\% | 9\% | 13\% | 61\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| IP | 19\% | 12\% | 4\% | 27\% | 35\% | 100\% |
| PM | 17\% | 11\% | 17\% | 56\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Evening | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |
| Weekend | 24\% | 0\% | 0\% | 48\% | 24\% | 100\% |
| Sum Weekday | 16\% | 10\% | 10\% | 46\% | 13\% | 100\% |
| Weekly | 17\% | 9\% | 9\% | 46\% | 15\% | 100\% |



Figure 14: Proportion of bus egress leg from rail stations, by ticket product and time period

### 6.8 Car Parking

Respondents who indicated that they got to the station by motor vehicle (either as driver or as passenger) were also asked where that vehicle was parked.

The results are summarised in Table 19, Table20 and Figure 15.
Table 19: Car access to rail stations (persons)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | I was dropped off | Parked at the station | Parked elsewhere | Grand Total |
| AM | 1200 | 6150 | 750 | 8100 |
| IP | 250 | 800 | 600 | 1700 |
| Weekend | 450 | 850 | 250 | 1550 |

Table 20: Car access to rail stations (persons, percentage)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | I was dropped off | Parked at the station | Parked elsewhere | Grand Total |
| AM | $15 \%$ | $76 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| IP | $15 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Weekend | $28 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $100 \%$ |



Figure 15: Car access to rail stations (persons)
Return trips are not included in this analysis. In the Inter-Peak the proportion of people who park elsewhere (not at the station directly) is much higher than in the AM peak, likely due to the car parks at the station already having filled up during the morning peak.

Figure 16 to Figure 21 show detailed analysis for selected stations. Although relatively busy stations have been selected, the analysis is based on a relatively small sample so this should be accounted for when using the information. It is suggested that information be expressed in approximate terms or as a range, as opposed to exact numbers.

Melling is a good example of a station where the dedicated car parks fill up over the course of the morning: all respondents parked at the station and none elsewhere from 6:00am to 6:59am, but the proportion of people who parked elsewhere increases gradually and none of the respondents parked at the station after 9am.

Porirua on the other hand is an example of a station where people park at the station throughout the whole AM peak and there appears to be no parking capacity constraints.


Figure 16: Car park location by time, Melling / Paraparaumu


Figure 17: Car park location by time, Paremata / Petone


Figure 18: Car park location by time, Porirua / Silverstream


Figure 19: Car park location by time, Takapu Road / Waikanae


Figure 20: Car park location by time, Wallaceville / Waterloo


Figure 21: Car park location by time, Woburn

### 6.9 Rail ticket type by time period

An overview of the rail ticket type by time period is presented in Table 21, Table 22 and Figure 18.
The information shows that the AM, PM peak and evening are dominated by Monthly Pass and to a lesser degree, 10-Trip Tickets.

During the Inter-Peak and Weekend, Cash payments and SuperGold pick up a greater share.

Table 21: Trips by ticket type and time period

|  | 10-trip | Child concession | Concession | Day pass | Monthly pass | Other | Paid Cash for single trip at station | Paid Cash for single trip on train | SuperGold | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 5700 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 11050 | 100 | 300 | 350 | 100 | 17700 |
| IP | 2600 | 100 | 0 | 450 | 2450 | 50 | 750 | 450 | 3100 | 9950 |
| PM | 3450 | 0 | 100 | 300 | 7400 | 0 | 200 | 200 | 0 | 11650 |
| Evening | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 650 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1000 |
| Weekend | 1950 | 0 | 0 | 650 | 2250 | 50 | 850 | 1200 | 2350 | 9300 |
| Sum Weekday | 13900 | 100 | 200 | 1400 | 23800 | 300 | 2100 | 2200 | 5550 | 49600 |
| Weekly | 73400 | 500 | 1000 | 8300 | 123500 | 1600 | 12200 | 13400 | 32450 | 266600 |

Table 22: Trips by ticket type and time period (percentage)

|  | 10-trip | Child concession | Concession | Day pass | Monthly <br> pass | Other | Paid Cash for single trip at station | Paid Cash for single trip on train | SuperGold | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 32\% | 0\% | 1\% | 0\% | 62\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 100\% |
| IP | 26\% | 1\% | 0\% | 5\% | 25\% | 1\% | 8\% | 5\% | 31\% | 100\% |
| PM | 30\% | 0\% | 1\% | 3\% | 64\% | 0\% | 2\% | 2\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Evening | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 65\% | 10\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| Weekend | 21\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 24\% | 1\% | 9\% | 13\% | 25\% | 100\% |
| Sum Weekday | 28\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 48\% | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 11\% | 100\% |
| Weekly | 28\% | 0\% | 0\% | 3\% | 46\% | 1\% | 5\% | 5\% | 12\% | 100\% |



Figure 22: Trips by ticket type and time period (percentage)

### 6.10 Ticket type by line, AM peak

Analysing the ticket types used on the different lines during the AM-Peak, the data shows that the Johnsonville line has a different usage profile, with more people using cash or 10-trip tickets and fewer monthly passes as compared to the other lines.

Table 23: Ticket type by line, AM peak

|  | 10-trip | Monthly pass | Other | Paid Cash for single trip at station | Paid Cash for single trip on train | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hutt \& Melling Lines | 2550 | 5500 | 100 | 150 | 100 | 8400 |
| Johnsonville Line | 950 | 1100 | 0 | 100 | 200 | 2350 |
| Kapiti Line | 1850 | 4000 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 5950 |
| Grand Total | 5700 | 11050 | 100 | 300 | 350 | 17700 |

Table 24: Ticket type by line, AM peak (percentage)

|  | 10-trip | Monthly pass | Other | Paid Cash for single trip at station | Paid Cash <br> for single <br> trip on <br> train | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hutt \& Melling Lines | 30\% | 65\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 100\% |
| Johnsonville Line | 40\% | 47\% | 0\% | 4\% | 9\% | 100\% |
| Kapiti Line | 31\% | 67\% | 0\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% |
| Grand Total | 32\% | 62\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 100\% |



Figure 23: Ticket type by line, AM peak (percentage)

### 6.11 Rail ticket type by hour, AM only

Looking at the breakdown of rail ticket type by hour (AM only) shows some subtle differences between payment methods during the AM peak period.

Table 25: Method of payment by ticket type and hour, AM peak

|  | 10-trip | Monthly pass | Paid Cash for single trip at station | Paid Cash for single trip on train | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before 6 am | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 200 |
| 6:00-6:59 am | 1100 | 2450 | 50 | 0 | 3850 |
| 7:00-7:59 am | 3400 | 6350 | 50 | 150 | 10000 |
| 8:00-8:59 am | 1200 | 2100 | 200 | 150 | 3700 |
| Grand Total | 5700 | 11050 | 300 | 350 | 17700 |

Table 26: Method of payment by ticket type and hour, AM peak (percentage)

|  | 10-trip | Monthly pass | Paid Cash for single trip at station | Paid Cash for single trip on train | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before 6 am | 12\% | 88\% | 0\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| 6:00-6:59 am | 28\% | 64\% | 1\% | 0\% | 100\% |
| 7:00-7:59 am | 34\% | 64\% | 1\% | 2\% | 100\% |
| 8:00-8:59 am | 33\% | 57\% | 6\% | 4\% | 100\% |
| Grand Total | 32\% | 62\% | 2\% | 2\% | 100\% |



Figure 24: Method of payment by ticket type and hour, AM peak
Table 16 and Figure 24 show that the number of people using cash to pay for fares increases from less than $1 \%$ of all trips prior to 8 am , rising to about $10 \%$ of all trips in the hour from 8am to 9am.

The proportion of 10 trip tickets in relation to monthly pass usage appears to go up throughout the AM peak

The patterns are largely intuitive; as the AM peak progresses, the proportion of travellers who are regular commuters (who buy monthly passes) decreases slightly, whilst the proportion who are irregular commuters (10-trip tickets) or who use the train irregularly at peak times for other purposes (cash fares) increase slightly.

### 6.12 Number of Trips by Payment Method

Another interesting question in regard to payment method is: - How many trips per week are made for each payment method. For this survey question, respondents were asked not to include the return trips in their answer.

A relatively large number of respondents indicated that they'd make the same journey 8 or more times a week. For the purpose of this analysis it is assumed that these respondents misread the question and the numbers are equally split between 4 and 5 trips per week responses.

Only answers corresponding to an initial trip were used for this analysis.

Table 27: Number of weekly trips by payment method, AM peak

| Number of trips per week | 10-trip | Monthly pass | Paid Cash for single trip at station | Paid Cash for single trip on train | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 250 | 50 | 150 | 50 | 450 |
| 2 | 350 | 200 | 0 | 50 | 650 |
| 3 | 850 | 100 | 50 | 0 | 1000 |
| 4 | 1200 | 750 | 100 | 50 | 2150 |
| 5 | 2800 | 9650 | 50 | 200 | 12850 |
| 6 | 200 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 450 |
| 7 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 |
| Grand Total | 5700 | 11050 | 300 | 350 | 17700 |



Figure 25: Number of weekly trips by payment method, AM, percentage
The analysis shows that in the AM peak, the majority of passengers surveyed make the same journey 5 times per week. When weighted across all ticket types, the average person surveyed makes the same rail trip 4.6 times per week.

Table 28: Number of weekly trips by payment method, IP

| Number of trips <br> per week | 10-trip |  | Paid Cash for <br> single trip at <br> station | Paid Cash for <br> single trip on <br> train | SuperGold | Grand Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 300 | 0 | 250 | 150 | 1150 | 1950 |
| 2 | 200 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 500 | 1000 |
| 3 | 250 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 150 | 500 |
| 4 | 250 | 150 | 0 | 100 | 50 | 600 |
| 5 | 100 | 550 | 50 | 0 | 100 | 850 |
| 6 | 50 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 250 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 |



Figure 26: Number of weekly trips by payment method, IP, percentage
During the inter-peak there are far fewer regular travellers and more irregular travellers who may only make a particular journey once or twice a week. The average inter-peak rail user makes the same trip 2.6 times per week.

## 7. Annualisation

Around 13 Million trips were made by rail in the Wellington region during the 2016/2017 financial year.

In order to annualise the expanded survey data, we assumed a split of $70 \%$ Peak period trips, 25\% Inter-peak and 5\% Weekend trips, based on reported Metlink peak / off-peak patronage and Wellington Station guard counts.

The objective of the annualisation process is to provide a very high level overview of the data and to give an insight into the overall proportions of how people use the rail network

### 7.1 Annual Trips by Time Periods

Table 19 and Figure 27 provide an overview of how many rail trips were taken during the different time periods or during the weekend. The AM peak is the busiest period of when people travel, followed by the PM and IP peak. Less than $10 \%$ of all trips were taken during the weekend.

Table 29: Annual number of trips by time period, (millions)

|  | AM | IP | PM | Evening | Weekend | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Annual trips | 5.5 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 13.0 |
| $\%$ of total | $42 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $100 \%$ |



Figure 27: Annual number of trips by time period, percentage

### 7.2 Annual Trips by Rail-Trip Payment Method

Around half of all rail trips are made with a Monthly Pass and $30 \%$ paid for using a 10-trip ticket.
Super Gold accounts for $10 \%$ of all rail fares paid and cash is around $7 \%$.
Table 30: Annual number of trips by payment type (000s)

|  | 10-trip | Child concession | Concession | Day pass | Monthly pass | Other | Paid Cash for single trip at station | Paid Cash for single trip on train | SuperGold | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Trips (Thousand) | 3808 | 35 | 60 | 268 | 6790 | 84 | 441 | 399 | 1116 | 13000 |
| \% of total | 29\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2\% | 52\% | 1\% | 3\% | 3\% | 9\% | 100\% |



Figure 28: Annual number of trips by payment type, percentage

### 7.3 Annual Trips by Access Mode

Table 21 and Figure 29 show that around 2/3 of rail trips start with the user walking to the train station. Around $25 \%$ of people either drive or are driven in a private motor vehicle to the station and less than $10 \%$ use the bus.

Table 31: Annual number of trips by access mode

|  | By bike | By bus | By motor vehicle, as a passenger | By motor vehicle, as the driver | By taxi | By train | Other | Walk | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual trips (Thousand) | 226 | 969 | 899 | 2544 | 11 | 52 | 25 | 8269 | 12995 |
| \% of total | 2\% | 7\% | 7\% | 20\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 64\% | 100\% |



Figure 29: Annual number of trips by access mode, percentage.

### 7.4 Annual Trips by Trip Purpose

Table 23 and Figure 30 show that $70 \%$ of annual trips are Home based work trips, with Home based other ( $\sim 17 \%$ ) and Home based Education ( $\sim 7 \%$ of trips)

Table 32: Annual number of trips by trip purpose

|  | Home Based <br> Work | Home Based <br> Education | Home Based <br> Other | On <br> Employer <br> Business | non Home <br> Based Work | non Home <br> Base Other | Grand <br> Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Annual Trips (Thousand) | 9492 | 989 | 1880 | 314 | 196 | 105 | 12978 |
| $\%$ of total | $73 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $100 \%$ |



Figure 30: Annual number of trips by trip purpose, percentage

## 8. Summary and Next Steps

This report presents an overview of how and when people use the Wellington rail network; how they access the rail network and how they conclude their trips to their final destinations. The following are some of the findings from the rail survey analysis.

- The 2017 Rail Survey had a sample size of 2,531 passengers which was then expanded to 54,000 using passenger count data to provide a more accurate representation of the regional rail passenger population.
- Peak travel times account for over two thirds of weekly rail trips and in the weekend, $8 \%$ of rail trips.
- Over all lines, $90 \%$ of all AM peak trips are journeys to work and $7 \%$ are journeys to education or training.
- In types of trip, the Johnsonville line differed from other lines, $63 \%$ of $A M$ trips were journeys to work and $30 \%$ to school.
- Most passengers access the AM rail services either by walking or by car (45\% each). For the return pm journey to the station, $90 \%$ of passengers walk (mostly from work to the station).
- The proportion of passengers using cars to access the station differed between lines. For example, $13 \%$ of Johnsonville line passengers compared to the $46 \%$ of Kapiti line passengers used a car.
- Overall $6 \%$ of passengers used the bus during morning peak to access the train. The largest uptake in bus access was in the evening, $22 \%$.
- Of those passengers using a vehicle to access the station, $76 \%$ of morning passengers used park and ride facilities at the station, interpeak it was $48 \%$ and weekend $56 \%$.
- There were differences between the rail lines for park \& ride facilities; Melling and Paraparumu filled up early during AM peak times. In contrast Porirua drivers were able to park throughout the AM peak with few parking constraints.
- The monthly pass is the preferred rail ticket for $62 \%$ of passengers, followed by ten trip tickets (32\%) during all travel times. Cash and Supergold card usage increases during interpeak and weekend.
- Johnsonville line had a different usage profile during am peak; more passengers used ten trip tickets and cash compared to other lines.

One purpose of the rail analysis was to enable the reader to ask more detailed questions, which may lead to further analysis of the Rail Survey responses. Future questions may relate to:

- Ticketing - What's the usage frequency of the different tickets? How many trips get users out of a monthly pass? How do rail pass/10-trip/cash customers pay for access/egress bus trips? How does ticket type uptake vary by line?
- $P \& R$ - How do rail users get to the different stations?


## Appendix 1

## Wellington Rail Passenger Survey 2017 <br> Research NZ (July 2017)

## Overview

This report details the findings of a recent survey of Metlink train passengers, undertaken on behalf of the Greater Wellington Regional Council (GWRC). The objective of the survey was to collect travel information from peak, off-peak and weekend rail passengers on the Kapiti, Johnsonville, Hutt Valley/Melling and Wairarapa lines.

Between 13 and 25 June, Research New Zealand surveyors distributed information postcards to train passengers on the train station platforms during weekday morning peak-times and on the trains during weekday off-peak times and weekends. The postcards invited travellers to complete a short online survey about their train journey on the day they were given the card.

In total, 15,000 postcards were distributed and $n=2,351$ train travellers completed the survey by its close off date of 2 July 2017 - a survey completion rate of 15.7 percent. The number of postcards allocated to the different train lines and specific train platforms was determined by historic passenger volume data, as provided by GWRC.

The maximum margin of error (MoE) at the 95 percent confidence estimate for the achieved sample of $\mathrm{n}=2,351$ respondents is $\pm 2.0$ percent. MoE for key sub-groups of interest discussed in this report are as follows: weekday ( $n=2,096$ ) and weekend travellers ( $n=255$ ), $\pm 2.1$ and 6.1 percent, respectively; weekday peak-time travellers (7:00 am to $9: \mathrm{am}, \mathrm{n}=1,483$ ) $\pm 2.5$ percent; and travellers enrolled in tertiary study $(\mathrm{n}=192) \pm 7.1$ percent.

This report is organised in five sections to provide the following different views of the data:
$\square$ Differences in the demographic and travel characteristics of weekday and weekend travellers.
$\square \quad$ Breakdown of weekday traveller characteristics by rail line.
$\square \quad$ Breakdown of weekend traveller characteristics by rail line.
$\square \quad$ Summary findings for weekday peak-time travellers (7:00 am to 9:00 am).
$\square \quad$ Summary findings for tertiary students.
At the end of this report, a more detailed description of the survey's methodology is also provided.

## Key findings

## Differences between weekday and weekend travellers

The 2017 Train Survey findings show that there are a number of statistically significant demographic and travel characteristic differences between weekday versus weekend travellers. ${ }^{3}$

[^2]Figure 1 shows that during weekdays, more than eight-in-ten travellers are of working age (aged 26 to 64 years of age), while other age groups are not strongly represented. This is in contrast to weekends, when just under half of travellers fall into the 26 to 64 years age group, while significantly greater proportions are aged over 65 years ( 26 percent), or under the age of 25 (also 26 percent).

Figure 1:


## Travel modes used to get to train station

During weekdays, most travellers reported they had commenced their journey by walking to the train station (43 percent)), or by motor vehicle, either as a driver (39 percent) or as a passenger (11 percent). In contrast,

Figure 2 shows that a greater proportion of weekend travellers reported walking to the station (57 percent, compared with 43 percent of travellers during weekdays), while significantly fewer passengers travelled to the train by motor vehicle as a driver (16 percent, compared with 39 percent of weekday travellers). During the weekend, significantly more travellers also reported taking a bus to the train station (10 percent, compared with five percent of travellers on week days).

Figure 2:


## Frequency of taking the same train journey that week

Reflecting the high proportion of weekday travellers who reported their train journey was a commute to their usual workplace ( 88 percent), two-thirds ( 67 percent) reported they would make the same train journey five times that week (Table 1). ${ }^{4}$ In contrast, more than half of weekend respondents ( 54 percent) said they would only make the same train journey once during the week they were surveyed.

Table 33:
Q2n. Over the current week, how many times will you make this journey by train? (not including the return journey)

|  | Weekday <br> Unweighted base $=$ <br>  <br>  | Weekend <br>  <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 5 | 255 |
| $\%$ | 5 | 54 |
| 3 | 6 | 10 |
| 4 | 10 | 6 |
| 5 | 67 | 7 |
| 6 | 2 | 11 |
| 7 | 1 | 6 |
| $8+$ | 5 | 2 |
| Total | 100 | 4 |
| Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. | 100 |  |

[^3]
## Payment of train fares

As shown in Figure 3, most weekday travellers reported paying for their fare with a monthly pass (62 percent), more distantly followed by '10-trip' ticket ( 30 percent); whereas weekend travellers were significantly less likely to report paying for their train trip by either mode. Reflecting the finding that more than one quarter of weekend passengers were aged 65 years plus, a significantly greater proportion of weekend passengers paid for their train fare with a SuperGold Card ( 24 percent).

When compared with weekday travellers, significantly greater proportions of weekend travellers reported paying their train fare by cash ( 25 percent), either as a single trip purchased on the train (15 percent) or at the station (10 percent). Six percent also reported they were travelling on a day pass (compared with just one percent of weekday travellers).

Figure 3:


## Travel mode to destination after leaving the train

Figure 4 overleaf shows that during both weekdays and weekends, the major of travellers said would finish their journey after departing the train by walking ( 87 percent and 67 percent, respectively). However, compared to weekdays, weekend travellers were significantly more likely to report they would finish it by bus ( 13 percent), in a motor vehicle as a passenger or driver ( 15 percent), or by bike (four percent).

Figure 4:


## Return train journey and availability of motor vehicles as alternative travel mode

As detailed in Table 2, 93 percent of weekday travellers, reported they would make a return trip by train on the same day as their initial journey, while significantly fewer weekend travellers reported that they would do so (67 percent).

Table 3 shows, that about three quarters of weekday passengers said a car was available to them as an alternative to taking the train for their journey, while a significantly smaller proportion of weekend travellers (52 percent) reported this was the case.

Table 34
Q3a. Will you or did you make a return trip by train later in the day - this is the day you received your survey card?

|  |  | Weekday |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted base $=$ | Weekend |
|  | 2096 | 255 |
| $\%$ | 93 | 67 |
| Yes | 7 | 33 |
| No | 100 | 100 |
| Total |  |  |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
Table 35:
Q4c. Was a car available to you as an alternative to taking the train for this journey?

|  | Unweighted base $=$ | Weekday <br> 2083 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\%$ | Weekend <br> 252 |
|  | 73 | $\%$ |
| Yes | 27 | 52 |
| No | 100 | 48 |
| Total |  |  |
| Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding. |  |  |

## Weekday travellers - by Rail Line

The following tables (Table 4 through Table 10) provide the above results for weekday travellers, broken down by rail line. Within these tables, statistically significant differences for passengers on a particular line, when compared with all weekday travellers, are indicated by bold shading.

Key findings of note include:

## Age

$\square$ Johnsonville Line passengers had an older profile overall, with 10 percent being aged 65 years or older, compared with six percent of all weekday passengers. Reflecting this finding, Johnsonville line passengers were also significantly less likely to be aged 26 to 64 years ( 78 percent), when compared with all weekday passengers (84 percent).

Compared with all weekend travellers (six percent), Hutt Valley Line passengers were significantly less likely to report being aged 65 years or older (four percent).

## Mode of travel to train

$\square$ As noted above, 43 percent of weekday travellers walked to the train station for their train journey, while 39 percent did so in a motor vehicle as the driver. Compared with all weekday travellers, Johnsonville passengers were significantly more likely to have walked to the train station (76 percent), while being less likely to have driven to the train station ( 20 percent).

Kapiti and Melling Line passengers were significantly less likely than all weekday travellers to have walked to the train station ( 33 percent and 27 percent, respectively), while Kapiti Line passengers were the most likely group to have driven themselves to the train station ( 45 percent).

## Number of journeys per week

$\square$ As noted above, two thirds of weekday passengers (67 percent) said they made the same journey five times a week. In contract to this, just 50 percent of Johnsonville Line passengers reported making the same journey five times week, whereas they were significantly more likely to report making their journey just once (nine percent, compared with five percent of all weekday travellers) or two times (12 percent, compared with five percent of all weekday travellers).

## Paying of train fares

$\square \quad$ The vast majority of weekday travellers reported they paid for their fare with a monthly pass (62 percent), or with a 10-trip ticket (30 percent). Compared with all weekday travellers, passengers on the Hutt Valley Line were significantly more likely to have paid their fare with a monthly pass (69 percent), while Melling and Johnsonville Line passengers were less likely to have done so (54 percent and 45 percent, respectively).
$\square$ In addition, Johnsonville Line passengers were more likely to have paid for their fair using a 10trip ticket ( 41 percent, compared with 30 percent of all weekday travellers), or with a SuperGold Card (seven percent, compared with three percent of all weekday travellers.)
$\square$ As noted previously, the majority of weekday travellers (87 percent) reported they would finish their journey by walking when they got off the train. When compared with all weekday travellers, there were no statistically significant differences in relation to this finding, when viewed by different rail lines.
$\square$ While 93 percent of all weekday travellers said they would make a return trip later in the same day. At 95 percent, Hutt Valley Line passenger were significantly more likely to report this, while Johnsonville passengers were significantly less likely to do so (84 percent).

About three-quarters of weekday travellers (73 percent) said a car was available to them as an alternative to taking the train. Except for Johnsonville Line passengers ( 66 percent of whom reported as much), there were no statistically significant differences in relation to this finding when viewed by rail line.

Table 36:

Q4a. Which age category are you in?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 2093^{*} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hutt Valley Line 832 \% | Johnsonville Line 259 \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 828 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Melling Line 147 \% | Wairarapa Line 27** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 16 years | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 16-18 years | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 19-21 years | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| 22-25 years | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| 26-64 years | 84 | 86 | 78 | 84 | 87 | 70 |
| 65 years or over | 6 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 7 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekday.
${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

## Table 37:

Q2e. Which main travel mode did you use to get to the station for this train journey?

| Unweighted base = | Total 2095* \% | Hutt Valley Line 831 \% | Johnsonville Line 260 $\%$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 829 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Melling Line 147 \% | Wairarapa Line 28** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walk | 43 | 47 | 76 | 33 | 27 | 32 |
| By motor vehicle, as a passenger | 11 | 10 | 3 | 12 | 14 | 36 |
| By motor vehicle, as the driver | 39 | 37 | 20 | 45 | 47 | 29 |
| By train | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| By bus | 5 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| By bike | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| By taxi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekday.
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

Q2n. Over the current week, how many times will you make this journey by train? (not including the return journey)

|  |  | Hutt <br> Valley <br> Line | Johnsonville <br> Line | Kapiti Line | Melling <br> Line | Wairarapa <br> Line |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted base $=$ | $2096^{*}$ | 832 | 260 | 829 | 147 |
|  | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $28^{* *}$ |
|  |  | 5 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 5 |
| 1 | 5 | 4 | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 3 | 7 | 7 |
| 2 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 3 | 10 | 9 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 7 |
| 4 | 67 | 70 | 50 | 69 | 68 | 57 |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 7 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 |
| $8+$ | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekday.
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.
Table 39:
Q2m. How did you pay for your train fare?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 2096^{*} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | HuttValleyLine832$\%$ | JohnsonvilleLine260$\%$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 829 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Melling Line 147 \% | WairarapaLine$28^{* *}$$\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Paid Cash for single trip at station | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Paid Cash for single trip on train | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 10-trip | 30 | 27 | 41 | 29 | 37 | 39 |
| Monthly pass | 62 | 69 | 45 | 64 | 54 | 46 |
| SuperGold | 3 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Child concession | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Concession | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Day pass | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekday.
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.
Table 40:
Q2L. How will you finish your journey when you get off this train?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 2095^{*} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hutt Valley Line 832 \% | Johnsonville Line 260 $\%$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 829 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Melling Line 146 \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wairarapa } \\ \text { Line } \\ 28^{* *} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walk | 87 | 89 | 88 | 87 | 82 | 71 |
| By motor vehicle, as a passenger | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11 |
| By motor vehicle, as the driver | 3 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 14 |
| By train | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| By bus | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 4 |
| By bike | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 |
| By taxi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

[^4]Table 41:

Q3a. Will you or did you make a return trip by train later in the day - this is the day you received your survey card?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 2096^{*} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hutt Valley Line 832 \% | Johnsonville Line 260 \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 829 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Melling Line 147 \% | Wairarapa Line 28** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 93 | 95 | 84 | 95 | 89 | 93 |
| No | 7 | 5 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

* *Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekday.
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.
Table 42:
Q4c. Was a car available to you as an alternative to taking the train for this journey?


[^5]
## Weekend travellers - by Rail Line

The following tables (Table 11 through Table 17) provide the same results for weekend travellers, as noted in the first section, but broken down by rail line. Within these tables, statistically significant differences for passengers on a particular line, when compared with all weekend travellers, are indicated by bold shading. However, due to the relatively smaller sample size of weekend travellers in general, there are few such differences.

Note that one weekend passenger claimed to have travelled on the Melling Line, even though that line does not operate on weekends. As it is not clear whether the person in question actually travelled on the Melling Line on a weekday, or some other line during the weekend, their data has been retained in overall results column for weekend passengers, but no results specifically for the Melling Line are shown in the following tables.

Table 43:
Q4a. Which age category are you in?


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekend.
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.
Table 44:
Q2e. Which main travel mode did you use to get to the station for this train journey?

|  |  | Hutt Valley | Johnsonville | Line | Kapiti Line |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Wairarapa |
| :---: |
| Line |

[^6]Q2n. Over the current week, how many times will you make this journey by train? (not including the return journey)


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekend.
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.
Table 46:
Q2m. How did you pay for your train fare?

|  |  | Hutt Valley | Johnsonville | Line | Kapiti Line |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Wairarapa |
| :---: |
| Line |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekend.
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.
Table 47:
Q2L. How will you finish your journey when you get off this train?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 255^{*} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hutt Valley Line 55 \% | Johnsonville Line <br> 71 <br> \% | Kapiti Line <br> 114 <br> \% | Wairarapa Line $14^{* *}$ \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walk | 67 | 82 | 76 | 57 | 43 |
| By motor vehicle, as a passenger | 9 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 21 |
| By motor vehicle, as the driver | 6 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 14 |
| By train | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| By bus | 13 | 7 | 15 | 13 | 21 |
| By bike | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 |
| By taxi | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekend.
${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

## Table 48:

Q3a. Will you or did you make a return trip by train later in the day - this is the day you received your survey card?

|  |  | Hutt Valley | Johnsonville |  | Wairarapa |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Line | Line | Kapiti Line | Line |
|  | Unweighted base $=$ | $255^{*}$ | 55 | 71 | 114 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents...
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.
Table 49:
Q4c. Was a car available to you as an alternative to taking the train for this journey?

|  |  | Hutt Valley | Johnsonville |  | Wapirarapa |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Line | Line | Kapitine | Line |
|  | Unweighted base $=$ | $252^{*}$ | 55 | 69 | 113 |

[^7]
## Summary findings for weekday peak-time (7:00 am to 9:00 am) travellers

This section provides summary results for weekday peak-time (7:00 am to 9:00 am ) travellers.

## Mode of travel to train

As shown in Figure 5 and Table 18, most peak-time travellers, walked (44 percent), or drove a motor vehicle to the station (39 percent).
$\square$ Compared with all peak-time travellers (44 percent), passengers on the Johnsonville line were significantly more likely to report walking to the station (79 percent).

Kapiti and Melling Line passengers were significantly more likely to report they drove a motor vehicle to the station ( 45 percent and 49 percent, respectively, when compared with all peak-time travellers (39 percent).

Of the sub-sample of travellers who reported travelling by motor vehicle to the station, either as a driver or passenger ( $n=741$ ), just under three quarters ( $73 \%$ ) left their vehicle parked at the station, while 12 percent parked elsewhere and 15 percent said they were dropped off by someone (Table 19).
$\square \quad$ Melling Line passengers were significantly less likely to have left their vehicle parked at the station (61 percent), while being more likely to have parked elsewhere ( 23 percent).

Figure 5:


[^8]Q2e. Which main travel mode did you use to get to the station for this train journey?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 1482^{*} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Hutt Valley Line 593 \% | Johnsonville Line 182 \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 577 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Melling Line 114 \% | Wairarapa Line 16** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walk | 44 | 48 | 79 | 33 | 26 | 19 |
| By motor vehicle, as a passenger | 11 | 10 | 2 | 13 | 17 | 44 |
| By motor vehicle, as the driver | 39 | 37 | 19 | 45 | 49 | 38 |
| By train | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| By bus | 4 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 |
| By bike | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 0 |
| By taxi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported travelling peak-time (7:00 to 9:00 am) weekdays.
${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

Table 51:
Q2f. The motor vehicle you travelled in to the station - where is it now?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 741^{*} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hutt Valley Line 278 \% | Johnsonvill e Line 38 $\%$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 337 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Melling } \\ \text { Line } \\ 75 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Wairarapa Line $13^{\star \star}$ $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parked at the station | 73 | 75 | 71 | 75 | 61 | 77 |
| Parked elsewhere | 12 | 11 | 24 | 9 | 23 | 0 |
| I was dropped off | 15 | 14 | 5 | 16 | 16 | 23 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported travelling peak-time (7:00 to 9:00 am ) weekdays, and who travelled to the train station by motor vehicle.
${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

## Paying of train fares

Two-thirds of peak-time travellers reported paying for their train fare with a monthly pass (67 percent), while 31 percent said they paid with a 10-trip ticket. Just two percent reported paying by cash, and none reported paying by SuperGold Card or a concession fare (Figure 6 and Table 20).
$\square$ Compared with all peak-time travellers, passengers on the Johnsonville line were significantly less likely to be travelling on a monthly pass (53 percent), while being more likely to have used a 10trip ticket to pay for their journey (43 percent).

Figure 6:


Table 52:
Q2m. How did you pay for your train fare?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 1483^{*} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Hut Valley Line 594 \% | Johnsonville Line 182 \% | Kapiti Line <br> 577 <br> \% | Melling Line 114 \% | Wairarapa Line 16** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paid Cash for single trip at station | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 6 |
| Paid Cash for single trip on train | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| 10-trip | 31 | 27 | 43 | 29 | 39 | 44 |
| Monthly pass | 67 | 70 | 53 | 69 | 58 | 44 |
| SuperGold | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Child concession | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Concession | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Day pass | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

[^9]
## Number of journeys per week

Seven-in-ten peak-time travellers (71 percent) reported they would make the same journey, excluding return trips, five times a week (Table 21), though Johnsonville passengers were less likely to report this was the case ( 60 percent).

Table 53:

Q2n. Over the current week, how many times will you make this journey by train? (not including the return journey)


Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported travelling peak-time (7:00 to 9:00 am) weekdays.
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

## Mode of travel after train journey

Ninety percent of peak-time travellers said they would finish their journey when they got off the train by walking (Table 22), while Johnsonville passengers were more likely to report they would do so (94 percent).

Table 54:
Q2L. How will you finish your journey when you get off this train?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 1482^{*} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Hutt Valley Line 594 \% | Johnsonville Line 182 $\%$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 577 \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Melling <br> Line <br> 113 <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wairarapa } \\ \text { Line } \\ 16^{* *} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Walk | 90 | 91 | 94 | 89 | 84 | 75 |
| By motor vehicle, as a passenger | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| By motor vehicle, as the driver | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 19 |
| By train | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| By bus | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 0 |
| By bike | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 |
| By taxi | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported travelling peak-time (7:00 to 9:00 am) weekdays.
**Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

## Return journey the same day

The vast majority of peak-time travellers reported they would make a return trip by train later in the day (94 percent). However, at 86 percent Johnsonville passengers were less likely to report this (Table 23).

Figure 7 and Table 24 provide a breakdown of the time of respondents' reported return train journey.

Figure 7:

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported travelling peak-time (7:00 to 9:00 am ) weekdays and said they would make a return trip by train later in the day.
${ }^{\wedge}$ Despite trains not running at that time, 16 respondents reported their return train journey would be between 1:00 and 4:57 am.

Table 55:

Q3a. Will you or did you make a return trip by train later in the day - this is the day you received your survey card?

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { 1483* } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | HuttValleyLine594$\%$ | Johnsonville Line 182 <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 577 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Melling Line 114 \% | Wairarapa Line 16** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 94 | 96 | 86 | 96 | 90 | 94 |
| No | 6 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 6 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

[^10]Return Time

| Unweighted base = | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 1398^{\star} \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Hutt Valley Line 570 \% | Johnsonville Line 157 <br> \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kapiti Line } \\ 553 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Melling Line 103 \% | Wairarapa Line 15** \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 am to 6:59 am^ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 7:00 am to 8:59 am | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| 9:00 am to 11:59 am | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 12:00 pm to 2:59 pm | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 |
| 3:00 pm to 3:59 pm | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 0 |
| 4:00 pm to 4:59 pm | 26 | 26 | 14 | 27 | 27 | 40 |
| 5:00 pm to 5:59 pm | 47 | 47 | 48 | 46 | 49 | 33 |
| 6:00 pm to 6:59 pm | 12 | 12 | 20 | 10 | 12 | 7 |
| 7:00 pm to 8:59 pm | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:00 pm to 11:59 pm | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

[^11]
## Summary findings tertiary students

The following section provides an overview of the survey results among the sub-sample of respondents who reported they were enrolled in tertiary study or training. As shown in Figure 8, half of those undertaking tertiary study reported being aged 26 years or older.

Figure 8:

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported they were enrolled in tertiary study or training

## Mode of travel to train station and frequency of taking same journey per week

Figure 9 shows that just under half of respondents who were enrolled in tertiary study reported walking to the train station the day of their journey (46 percent), while equal proportions travelled by motor vehicle either as a passenger (19 percent) or driver ( 20 percent). One in seven said they travelled to the station by bus (14 percent).

Figure 9:

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported they were enrolled in tertiary study or training.

Compared with peak-time travellers, a significantly smaller proportion of tertiary students reported making the same train journey five or more times per week ( 57 percent, compared with 71 percent of peak-time travellers - see Table 21 above).

Table 57:

Q2n. Over the current week, how many times will you make this journey by train? (not including the return journey)

| Unweighted base $=$Tertiary <br> study <br> $192^{*}$ <br> $\%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1 | 16 |
| 2 | 7 |
| 3 | 9 |
| 4 | 11 |
| 5 | 41 |
| 6 | 7 |
| 7 | 2 |
| $8+$ | 7 |
| Total | 100 |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported they were enrolled in tertiary study or training.

## Payment of train fare

Less than half of those in tertiary study reported paying for their train fare by using a monthly pass (44 percent), while four-in-ten were travelling on a 10-trip ticket (Figure 10). Fifteen percent said they paid cash for a single trip at the station or on the train.

Figure 10:


[^12]
## Mode of travel after disembarking the train

Three quarters of travellers who were enrolled for tertiary study reported they would finish their journey when they got off the train by walking, while 16 percent would travel to their final destination by bus (Figure 11).

Figure 11:

*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported they were enrolled in tertiary study or training.

## Return train journeys and availability of motor vehicle as alternative travel mode

As detailed in Table 26, 83 percent of travellers who were also enrolled in tertiary study, reported they would make a return trip by train on the same day as their initial journey. Table 27 shows, that less than half of those enrolled in tertiary study said a car was available to them as an alternative to taking the train for their journey ( 46 percent).

Table 58:
Q3a. Will you or did you make a return trip by train later in the day - this is the day you received your survey card?

|  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unweighted base $=$ | $192^{*}$ |
|  |  | $\%$ |
| Yes | 83 |  |
| No | 17 |  |
| Total | 100 |  |

Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported they were enrolled in tertiary study or training.
Table 59:
Q4c. Was a car available to you as an alternative to taking the train for this journey?

|  | Unweighted base $=$ | Total <br> $190^{*}$ <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes |  | 46 |
| No |  | 54 |
| Total |  | 100 |

[^13]*Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported they were enrolled in tertiary study or training.

## 2017 Train Survey Methodology

The objective of GWRC's 2017 Train survey was to collect travel information from peak, off-peak and weekend rail passengers on the Kapiti, Johnsonville, Hutt Valley/Melling and Wairarapa lines.

Between 13 and 25 June, Research New Zealand surveyors distributed information postcards to train passengers on the train station platforms during weekday morning peak-times and on the trains during weekday off-peak-times and weekends. The postcards invited prospective respondents to complete a short online survey about their train journey that day.

The online survey was hosted by Research New Zealand on a secure encrypted website. To facilitate completion of the survey, each postcard included the survey's URL and a unique login ID number. Once logged into the survey, respondents were asked to provide information in relation to the following information areas:
$\square \quad$ Day and time of their initial train journey.
$\square$ The locations of travellers' journey origins and destinations (e.g. home street name and suburb, workplace street name and suburb, etc.).
$\square$ Travellers' modes of travel to and from train station and frequency of taking the same journey per week.
$\square \quad$ Train and bus journey payment modes.
$\square$ The availability of motor vehicles as an alternative to taking the train, and use of train station parking.
$\square \quad$ Time of same day return journeys by train.
$\square \quad$ Basic respondent demographic characteristics (age, gender and enrolment in tertiary study).
An example invitation postcard and copy of the survey questionnaire are appended at the end of this section.

In total, 15,000 postcards were distributed, and $n=2,351$ train travellers completed the survey by its close off date of 2 July 2017 - a survey completion rate of 15.7 percent. The number of postcards allocated to the different train lines and specific train platforms was determined by historic passenger volume data, as provided by GWRC. In addition to distributing invitation postcards, surveying on the Wairarapa line also involved completing passenger counts. ${ }^{5}$

The maximum margin of error (MoE) at the 95 percent confidence estimate for the achieved sample of $n=2,351$ respondents is $\pm 2.0$ percent. MoEs for key sub-groups of interest discussed in this report are as follows: weekday ( $n=2,096$ ) and weekend travellers ( $n=255$ ), $\pm 2.1$ and 6.1 percent, respectively; weekday peak-time travellers (7:00 am to $9: \mathrm{am}, \mathrm{n}=1,483$ ) $\pm 2.5$ percent; and travellers enrolled in tertiary study $(\mathrm{n}=192) \pm 7.1$ percent.

[^14]After the surveying was completed, Research New Zealand geocoded each respondent's self-reported origin and destination locations, using the street name and suburb information, where this was provided, and provided GWRC with an anonymised survey dataset. ${ }^{6}$

Below is a breakdown of the different days Research New Zealand's surveyors distributed survey postcards to rail passengers.

Table 60:

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Rail line and stations \& Date \& Day \& Time \& Surveyor tasks \& total surveyors \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Hutt Valley: Upper Hutt - Taita \\
Wingate to Wellington
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { 15-Jun-17 } \\
\text { 15-Jun-17 } \\
17 \& 18 \text { June } \\
\\
\text { 20-Jun-17 } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Thursday \\
Thursday \\
Saturday/Sunday \\
Tuesday
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{aligned}
\& 6.20-9.00 \mathrm{am} \\
\& 10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm} \\
\& 10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm} \\
\& 6.30-9.00 \mathrm{am}
\end{aligned}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
On station platforms handing out survey cards Travel on trains hand out survey cards Travel on trains hand out survey cards \\
On platforms handing out survey cards
\end{tabular} \& 20
4
4
22 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Kapiti line: Waikanae - Paremata \\
Porirua to Wellington
\end{tabular} \& \[
\begin{gathered}
\hline \text { 14-Jun-17 } \\
\text { 14-Jun-17 } \\
17 \& 18 \text { June } \\
\\
\text { 21-Jun-17 } \\
\hline
\end{gathered}
\] \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Wednesday \\
Wednesday \\
Saturday/Sunday \\
Wednesday
\end{tabular} \& \(6.00-8.30 \mathrm{am}\)
\(10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm}\)
\(10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm}\)
\(6.00-8.30 \mathrm{am}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
On platforms handing out survey cards Travel on trains hand out survey cards Travel on trains hand out survey cards \\
On platforms handing out survey cards
\end{tabular} \& 20
4
4

18 <br>

\hline Johnsonville Line: All stations \& | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13-Jun-17 } \\ & \text { 13-Jun-17 } \end{aligned}$ |
| :--- |
| 17 \& 18 June | \& | Tuesday |
| :--- |
| Tuesday |
| Saturday/Sunday | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\hline 6.30-9.00 \mathrm{am} \\
10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm} \\
10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm}
\end{array}
$$
\] \& On platforms handing out survey cards Travel on trains hand out survey cards Travel on trains hand out survey cards \& 18

4
4 <br>

\hline Melling Line: all stations \& \[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 19-Jun-17 } \\
& \text { 19-Jun-17 }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& | Monday |
| :--- |
| Monday | \& \[

$$
\begin{array}{|l}
6.30-9.00 \mathrm{am} \\
10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm}
\end{array}
$$
\] \& On platforms handing out survey cards Travel on trains hand out survey cards \& $\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 2 \\ \hline\end{array}$ <br>

\hline Wairarapa: UH to Wellington \& 19-Jun-17

19-Jun-17 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Monday <br>
Monday

 \& 

6.50-9.00 am <br>
$10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm}$
\end{tabular} \& Travel on trains hand out survey cards \& count passengers \& 6

2 <br>
\hline \& 17 \& 18 June \& Saturday/Sunday \& $10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm}$ \& \& 4 <br>
\hline Wellington Station \& 17 \& 18 June* \& Saturday/Sunday \& $10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm}$ \& On station platforms handing out cards \& 4 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{6}{|l|}{Redo} <br>
\hline Wellington Station \& 24 \& 25 June \& Saturday/Sunday \& 10.00-3.00 pm \& On station platforms handing out cards \& 4 <br>
\hline Wairarapa Line \& 24-Jun \& Saturday \& $10.00-3.00 \mathrm{pm}$ \& Travel on trains \& 2 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

*Surveyors were asked to leave train station by TransDev staff, requiring a repeate of weekend Wellington Station surveying the following weekend.

[^15]Example survey invitation postcard
Front:

| greater WELLINGTON <br> regional council <br> Te Pane Matua Taiao | We irvite you to take part in a survey about your train journey today. Understanding the journeys people make each day is important for establishing patterns of travel, and helps us to plan for the future. <br> Complete the survey by $\mathbf{1}$ July 2017 and be in the draw to win one of the twenty grocery voucher prizes - each worth $\$ 100$. For your chance to win, go to the following website and enter ID: «IDNO* <br> (1) gwtrains.researchnz.com OR scan 2 this OR Code and enter ID: «IDNO» <br> BY SHARING DETAILS OF YOURJOURNEY YOU COULD WIN $\$ 100$ INGROCERIES <br> Greater Wellington Regional Council has commissioned Research NewZealand to undertake this research. All information provided will remain strictly confidential. <br> The survey should take around 5 to 6 minutes to complete. If you have ary questions please contact Research NewZeadand on FREEFHONE 0800500168 or GWTrains@researchnz.com <br> Thank you in advance for your time and participation. <br> Greater Wellington Regional Council |
| :---: | :---: |

## Back:

Rail Passenger Survey 2017


## 2017 Train Survey Questionnaire

Note：Instructions for questions are included in the［］brackets．

Welcome to the Rail passenger survey－thanks for taking the time to tell us where and when you travel．
Understanding the journeys people make each day is important for establishing patterns of travel，and helps us to plan for the future．

Complete the survey and enter your email to be in the draw to win one of the twenty grocery voucher prizes－ each worth $\$ 100$ ．All information provided will remain strictly confidential．

Thank you
Q1 Please enter the ID number located on your survey card？

## The following questions refer to your＿train travel on the day you received your survey card．

Q2a Which day and what time was it when you first travelled by train？［compulsory］

Either Weekday or Weekend［Tick box for Weekday／Weekend）with list of times opening up below］ For weekday：
$\square$ Before 6 am
$\square$ 6：00－6：59 am
$\square$ 7：00－7：59am8：00－8：59 am
$\square 9: 00 \mathrm{am}-3 \mathrm{pm}$After 3pm
For weekend：Before 9am9－3pmAfter 3pm
Q2b At which rail line and station did you start your train journey？［compulsory］Hutt Valley Line（Upper Hutt－Wellington）Johnsonville Line（Johnsonville－Wellington）Kapiti Line（Waikanae－Wellington）Melling Line（Melling wellington）Wairarapa Line（Masterton－Wellington］
See list of stations．

Q2ac (if weekend Q2a ask, else skip) For this train trip, did you travel with friends and/or family? [single response]
$\square$ As a coupleA family groupA group of friendsOtherNo, was travelling alone

Q2c Where did you come from before catching this train? [single answer]Usual workplaceOn Employer BusinessSchool
$\square$ Polytechnic or University or trainingOther (e.g. shopping, social, sport, recreation)

Q2d Where is that place? Please provide a street and suburb

STREET NAME $\qquad$
SUBURB $\qquad$

Q2e Which main travel mode did you use to get to the station for this train journey? [single answer]WalkBy motor vehicle, as a passengerBy motor vehicle, as the driverBy trainBy busBy bikeBy taxiOther

## [If respondent ticked either of the motor vehicle choices in Q2e, else skip]

## Q2f The motor vehicle you travelled you travelled in to the station - where is it now?

$\square$ Parked at the stationParked on a nearby streetI was dropped off

## If respondent ticked bus in question Q2e, else skip to Q2i

For your bus trip(s) to the train

Q2g Which ticket did you use for this bus trip(s)? [single answer]
CashSnapperMANA CoachcardHuttPlusKapitiPlusMonthly passSuperGoldA to B cardDay passWairarapaPlusOther

Q2h During an average working week, approximately how many times will you make this bus trip?1
8+

Q2j This first train trip is part of your journey to what destination? [single answer]
HomeUsual workplaceOn Employer BusinessSchoolPolytechnic or University or training Other (e.g. shopping, social, sport, recreation)

Q2k Where is that place? Please provide a street and suburb
STREET NAME $\qquad$
SUBURB $\qquad$

Q2L How will you finish your journey when you get off this train? [single answer]WalkBy motor vehicle, as a passengerBy motor vehicle, as the driverBy trainBy busBy bikeBy taxiOther

Q2n During an average working week, approximately how many times will you make this this journey by train? (not including the return journey) [compulsory]
2
8+

Q3a Will you or did you make a return trip by train later in the day - this is the day you received your survey card?YesNo

If yes, what time did/will you make that train journey? $\qquad$ $:$ pm or am (hours/ minute) [Respondent must enter time and pm or am]

Q4a Which age category are you in?Under 16 years16-18 years 19-21 years22-25 years26-64 years65 years or over

Q4b Are you currently enrolled in tertiary study or training?Yes Part-time studyYes Full-time studyNo

Q4c Was a car available to you as an alternative to taking the train for this journey?YesNo

Q5a Thank you very much for providing this travel information. Please enter your email address and first name if you would like to be in the draw for a grocery voucher prize.

Email: $\qquad$
First name: $\qquad$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1} 2017$ Rail Passenger Survey report. Research NZ. Available on the GW web site: http://www.gw.govt.nz/regional-transport-analysis/

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Trip = a one way journey

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Note that not all questions in the survey were mandatory. Therefore, in the following sections the base numbers in figures and tables may vary by two or three respondents at times. Where results are based on a sub-sample of respondents, this has been indicated in the footnotes under the relevant charts and tables.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Respondents were asked to exclude any return journeys when reporting the number of times they make the same train journey per week).

[^4]:    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekday.
    **Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

[^5]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekday.
    **Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

[^6]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekend.
    ${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

[^7]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who travelled on a weekend.
    ${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

[^8]:    Table 50:

[^9]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported travelling peak-time (7:00 to 9:00 am) weekdays.
    **Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

[^10]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported travelling peak-time (7:00 to 9:00 am ) weekdays. **Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.

[^11]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.
    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported travelling peak-time ( $7: 00$ to $9: 00 \mathrm{am}$ ) weekdays and said they would make a return trip by train later in the day.
    ${ }^{* *}$ Caution: low base number of respondents - results are indicative only.
    $\wedge$ Despite trains not running at that time, 16 respondents reported their return train journey would be between 1:00 and 4:57 am.

[^12]:    *Sub-sample based on those respondents who reported they were enrolled in tertiary study or training.

[^13]:    Total may not sum to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

[^14]:    ${ }^{5}$ At the time of surveying, inbound passengers from the Wairarapa were bused over the Rimutakas, before boarding trains at Upper Hutt Station due to rail line maintenance. Outbound passengers travelled by train between Wellington Station and Upper Hutt Station, after which they were transported to the Wairarapa by bus.

[^15]:    6 Note: As detailed demographic information about Greater Wellington rail passengers travelling on the different rail lines during peak, off-peak and weekend periods is not known, the survey data has not been weighted.

